

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,047	0/724,047 12/01/2003		Corey Arrick	88265-7511	1519
29157	7590	07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOY		OYD LLC	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
P. O. BOX 1 CHICAGO,		D-1135		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ				1761	
				DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan	10/724,047	ARRICK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAN INC. DATE of the	Reginald L. Alexander	1761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	••					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ne 2006.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-20,26 and 30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-25</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce		Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/724,047

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 11-14, 17, 18, 20, 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Halliday et al.

There is disclosed in Halliday a device for preparing a whipped beverage, comprising: a container portion 9; a foam conditioning conduit 15, 17, 20, 28 associated with the container portion, the conduit comprising: a restriction channel 15, 17, a deceleration channel 28, and an outlet 5.

The prior art discloses all of the claimed structural limitations. In regards to the functional recitations and desired operational results, such is inherently taught by the Halliday reference.

One such desired operational result is the introduction of gas into the foam conditioning conduit upstream of the restriction channel. The mere development of gas is based upon the type of food material placed within the container. The material within the container does not structurally define the device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halliday et al.

In regards to the restriction channel length and use of sub-channels to form the deceleration channel, such would have been an obvious matter of design choice, since applicant has not disclosed that the claimed lengths and arrangements solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the prior art arrangement.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose or suggest that a gas is introduced into a foam conditioning conduit upstream of a restriction channel. The structural elements recited in the claims include 1) a container 2) a foam conditioning conduit 3) a restriction channel 4) a deceleration channel and 5) and outlet. All recited

Art Unit: 1761

structural elements are disclosed in the Holliday reference. It appears from applicant's claims that the gas is produced in the mixture of fluid with the food component of the container. There is no recitation of a separate air source or air inlet that provides air to the container for the production of gas. With this in mind, the gas must be a production of the food material and fluid. With this being the case the claim cannot be differentiated from the prior art based upon the type of material within the container. It is the structural limitations of the claim which make up the metes and bounds of the protection provided by a patent. The operation of a device is merely intended and fails to structurally limit a claim.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,047

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla

09 July 2006

Reginald L. Alexander

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1761